

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/522,793	01/31/2005	Koichi Kinoshita	040894-7172	4671
9629	7590 10/06/2006		EXAMINER	
MORGAN LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP			KING, BRADLEY T	
	YLVANIA AVENUE NW ON, DC 20004		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3683	

DATE MAILED: 10/06/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Comments	10/522,793	KINOSHITA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Bradley T. King	3683				
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence a	ıddress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion is period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUN 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO tute, cause the application to become a	ICATION. The reply be timely filed ONTHS from the mailing date of this ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on		ν				
·— · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	his action is non-final.					
<i>,</i>	, 					
closed in accordance with the practice unde		·				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application	on.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	<u> </u>					
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.			•			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) 1-18 are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ a		by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr		• •	CFR 1.121(d).			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the						
Priority under 35 Ú.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei	an priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	g., p.,,	γ				
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority docume		Application No				
3.⊠ Copies of the certified copies of the pr			al Stage			
application from the International Bure						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
		ν				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🖂 Intention	Summary (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		(s)/Mail Date				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)		Informal Patent Application				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)	 .				

Art Unit: 3683

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows:

Brake/pin species:

Species I Figure 1

Species II Figure 4

Pin species:

Species a Figure 2a

Species b Figure 2b

Species c Figure 2c

Shim species:

Species i Figures 5-7

Species ii Figures 11-13

Species iii Figure 14

Species iv Figure 15

Species v Figure 16

Species vi Figure 17

Species vii Figure 18

Species viii Figures 19-20

Application/Control Number: 10/522,793

Art Unit: 3683

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species of each group to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

The following claim(s) appear to be generic: 1-2, 8-10 and 16.

The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: the linking features are known in the art.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Application/Control Number: 10/522,793

Art Unit: 3683

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bradley T. King whose telephone number is (571) 272-7117. The examiner can normally be reached on 11:00-7:30 M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James McClellan can be reached on (571) 272-6786. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/522,793 Page 5

Art Unit: 3683

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

BTK

BRADLEY KING PATENT EXAMINED